arXiv:0806.1123v1 [math.GR] 6 Jun 2008

GRÖBNER-SHIRSHOV BASIS FOR THE BRAID GROUP IN THE BIRMAN-KO-LEE-GARSIDE GENERATORS

L. A. BOKUT*

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
bokut@math.nsc.ru

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain Gröbner-Shirshov (non-commutative Gröbner) bases for the braid groups in the Birman-Ko-Lee generators enriched by new "Garside word" δ ([2]). It gives a new algorithm for getting the Birman-Ko-Lee Normal Form in the braid groups, and thus a new algorithm for solving the word problem in these groups.

1. Introduction

In 1998, J. Birman, K.H. Ko and S.J. Lee [2] found a new system of generators of the n-string braid group B_n and used this system to obtain new solutions of the word problem and the conjugacy problem. To be more precise, they found a normal form of words in the braid group

$$B_n = gp\langle a_{ts}, n \geq t > s \geq 1 | a_{ts}a_{rq} = a_{rq}a_{ts}, (t-r)(t-q)(s-r)(s-q) > 0,$$
$$a_{ts}a_{sr} = a_{tr}a_{ts} = a_{sr}a_{tr}, n \geq t > s > r \geq 1\rangle.$$

Recall that the Birman-Ko-Lee generators a_{ts} are the elements

$$a_{ts} = (a_{t-1}a_{t-2}\dots a_{s+1})a_s(a_{s+1}^{-1}\dots a_{t-2}^{-1}a_{t-1}^{-1}),$$

where $n \geq t > s \geq 1$ and $a_i, n-1 \geq i \geq 1$ are the Artin generators of B_n.

In the paper [6], a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the semigroup of positive braids B_n^+ in the Artin generators was found.

In the paper, [7], I found a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the braid group B_n in the Artin-Garside generators $a_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \Delta, \Delta^{-1}$, where

$$\Delta = \Lambda_1\Lambda_2\dots\Lambda_n, \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda_i = a_i \dots a_1$$

(see A.F. Garside [8]).

In this paper, I find a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of B_n in the Birman-Ko-Lee generators enriched by the new "Garside element"

$$\delta = a_{nn-1}a_{n-1n-2}\dots a_{21}$$

invented in the same paper [2]. The generators obtained are called the Birman-Ko-Lee-Garside generators of the braid group.

As a corollary of our result, the Composition Lemma ([10], [3], [4], see below) immediately implies the Birman-Ko-Lee normal form in the braid group.

---

[*] Supported in part by the Russian Fund of Basic Research (project 05–01–00230), the Russian Fund of Leading Scientific Schools, and the Integration grant of the Siberian Branch of the RAS N 1.9.

2. Basic notations and results

Let us order generators

$$\delta^{-1} < \delta < a_{ts} < a_{rq} \quad \text{iff} \quad (t,s) < (r,q) \ \text{ lexicographically.}$$

We order words in this alphabet in the deg-lex way comparing two words first by theirs degrees (lengths) and then lexicographically when the degrees are equal.

Instead of $a_{ij}, i > j$, we write simply (i,j) or (j,i). We also set

$$(t_m, t_{m-1}, \dots, t_1) = (t_m, t_{m-1})(t_{m-1}, t_{m-2}) \dots (t_2, t_1),$$

where $t_j \neq t_{j+1}, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$.

In this notation, the defining relations of B_n can be written as

$$(t_3, t_2, t_1) = (t_2, t_1, t_3) = (t_1, t_3, t_2), t_3 > t_2 > t_1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(k,l)(i,j) = (i,j)(k,l), k > l, i > j, k > i, \tag{2.2}$$

where either $k > i > j > l$, or $k > l > i > j$.

Let us assume the following notation:

$$V_{[t_2,t_1]}, \ \text{where } n \geq t_2 > t_1 \geq 1,$$

is a positive word in (k,l) such that $t_2 \geq k > l \geq t_1$. We can use any capital Latin letter with indices instead of V, and any appropriate numbers (for example, t_3, t_0 such that $t_3 > t_0$) instead of t_2, t_1.

We will also use the following notations:

$$V_{[t_2-1,t_1]}(t_2,t_1) = (t_2,t_1)V'_{[t_2-1,t_1]}, t_2 > t_1,$$

where $V'_{[t_2-1,t_1]} = (V_{[t_2-1,t_1]})|_{(k,l)\mapsto(k,l), \text{ if } l \neq t_1; (k,t_1)\mapsto(t_2,k)}$;

$$W_{[t_2,t_1]}(t_1,t_0) = (t_1,t_0)W^{\star}_{[t_2,t_1]}, t_2 > t_1 > t_0,$$

where $W^{\star}_{[t_2,t_1]} = (W_{[t_2,t_1]})|_{(k,l)\mapsto(k,l), \text{ if } l \neq t_1; (k,t_1)\mapsto(k,t_0)}$.

Then

$$V'_{[t_2-1,t_1]} = (V'_{[t_2-1,t_1]})_{[t_2,t_1+1]},$$
$$W^{\star}_{[t_2,t_1]} = (W^{\star}_{[t_2,t_1]})_{[t_2,t_0]}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Relations*

$$V_{[t_2-1,t_1]}(t_2,t_1) = (t_2,t_1)V'_{[t_2-1,t_1]}, t_2 > t_1,$$
$$V_{[t_2-1,t_1]}(t_1,t_0) = (t_1,t_0)V^{\star}_{[t_2-1,t_1]}, t_1 > t_0,$$

imply

$((V_{[t_2-1,t_1]})^{\star})_{[t_2-1,t_0]}(t_2,t_0) = (t_2,t_0)V'_{[t_2-1,t_1]}$, *so* $((V^{\star}_{[t_2-1,t_1]})_{[t_2-1,t_0]})' = V'_{[t_2-1,t_1]}$; *it means that in the previous notations*

$((V_{[t_2-1,t_1]})^{\star})'_{[t_2-1,t_0]} = V'_{[t_2-1,t_1]}$, *or* $V^{\star\prime} = V'$ *for short .*

Proof. We have the following letter transformations $V_{[t_2-1,t_1]} \rightarrow (V_{[t_2-1,t_1]})^{\star} \rightarrow ((V_{[t_2-1,t_1]})^{\star})'_{[t_2,t_0]}$:

$(k,l)(t_2-1 \geq k > l > t_1) \mapsto (k,l) \mapsto (k,l); (k,t_1)(t_2-1 \geq k > t_1) \mapsto (k,t_0) \mapsto (t_2,k)$.

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $t_m > t_{m-1} > \cdots > t_1$. *Then*

$$(t_m, t_{m-1}, \ldots, t_1) = (t_k, \ldots, t_1, t_m, \ldots, t_{k+1})$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq m$.

Proof. For $m = 2$, the statement is provided by definition. Let us proceed by induction on $m \geq 3$. For $m = 3$, these are defining relations.

$(m-1) \Rightarrow m, m \geq 4$.

Use the induction on $k \geq 1$.

$k = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}&(t_m, \ldots, t_1) = (t_m, t_{m-1})(t_{m-1}, \ldots, t_1) = (t_m, t_{m-1})(t_1, t_{m-1}, \ldots, t_2) = \\ &(t_m, t_{m-1})(t_1, t_{m-1})(t_{m-1}, \ldots, t_2) = (t_m, t_{m-1}, t_1)(t_{m-1}, \ldots, t_2) = \\ &(t_1, t_m, t_{m-1})(t_{m-1}, \ldots, t_2) = (t_1, t_m, t_{m-1}, \ldots, t_2).\end{aligned}$$

$k \Rightarrow (k+1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}&(t_m, \ldots, t_1) = (t_k, \ldots, t_1, t_m, \ldots, t_{k+1}) = (t_k, \ldots, t_1)(t_1, t_m, \ldots, t_{k+1}) = \\ &(t_k, \ldots, t_1)(t_{k+1}, t_1, t_m, \ldots, t_{k+2}) = (t_k, \ldots, t_1)(t_{k+1}, t_1)(t_1, t_m, \ldots, t_{k+2}) = \\ &(t_k, \ldots, t_1)(t_1, t_{k+1})(t_1, t_m, \ldots, t_{k+2}) = (t_k, \ldots, t_1, t_{k+1})(t_1, t_m, \ldots, t_{k+2}) = \\ &(t_{k+1}, t_k, \ldots, t_1)(t_1, t_m, \ldots, t_{k+2}) = (t_{k+1}, \ldots, t_1, t_m, \ldots, t_{k+2}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $t_3 > t_2 > t_1$. *Then*

$$(t_3, t_2, t_1) = (t_2, t_1)(t_3, t_1).$$

Proof.

$$(t_3, t_2, t_1) = (t_2, t_1, t_3) = (t_2, t_1)(t_1, t_3) = (t_2, t_1)(t_3, t_1).$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $t > t_3 > t_2 > t_1, t_2 > s$. *Then*

$$(t, s)(t_2, t_1)(t_3, t_1) = (t_3, t_2)(t, s)(t_2, t_1), \tag{2.3}$$

$$(t_3, s)(t_2, t_1)(t_3, t_1) = (t_2, s)(t_3, s)(t_2, t_1). \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. We have

$$(t, s)(t_2, t_1)(t_3, t_1) = (t, s)(t_3, t_2, t_1) = (t, s)(t_3, t_2)(t_2, t_1) = (t_3, t_2)(t, s)(t_2, t_1),$$

$$(t_3, s)(t_2, t_1)(t_3, t_1) = (t_3, s)(t_3, t_2, t_1) = (t_3, s)(t_3, t_2)(t_2, t_1) = (t_2, s)(t_3, s)(t_2, t_1).$$

□

Lemma 2.5. *(Lemma 2.3.III,* [2]*) Let* $n \geq t > s \geq 1$. *Then*

$$(t, s)\delta = \delta(t+1, s+1),$$

where $t+1, s+1$ *are defined mod* n.

Proof. We state the proof to make the exposition complete. Our proof is different from the one from [2]. Also, the cases $n = t$ or $s = 1$ in the proof of Lemma 2.3.III, [2] were given for readers.

Let $t = n, s = 1$:

$$(n,1)(2,1)\dots(n,1) = (n,1)(n,n-1)(n-1,\dots,1) = (n-1,1)(n,1)(n-1,\dots,1) =$$
$$(n-1,1)(n-1,\dots,2)(n,1)(2,1) = (1,n-1,\dots,2)(n,1)(2,1) = (n-1,\dots,1)(n,1)(2,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(n,1)(2,1).$$

Let $t = n$. If $s = n-1$, then

$$(n,n-1)(2,1)\dots(n-2,1)(n-1,1)(n,1) = (2,1)\dots(n-2,1)(n,n-1)(n-1,1)(n,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(n-2,1)(n-1,1)(n,1)(n,1) = \delta(n,1).$$

Take $1 < s < n-1$, then

$$(n,s)(2,1)\dots(s-1,1)(s,1)\dots(n,1) = (2,1)\dots(s-1,1)(n,s)(s,1)\dots(n,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s-1)(s,1)(n,1)(s+1,1)\dots(n,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s,1)(n,1)(s+1,1)\dots(n-1,1)(n,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s,1)(n,1)(s+1,1)\dots(n-2,1)(n,n-1)(n-1,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s,1)(n,1)(n,n-1)(s+1,1)\dots(n-1,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s,1)(n,1)(n-1,n-2,\dots,s+1,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s,1)(n-1,1)(n,1)(s+1,1)\dots(n-1,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s,1)(n-1,1)(n,1)(n-1,\dots,s+1,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s,1)(n-1,1)(n-1,n-2)(n-2,n-3)\dots(s+2,s+1)(n,1)(s+1,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s,1)(s+1,1)\dots(n-1,1)(n,1)(s+1,1) = \delta(s+1,1),$$

where we use $(n-1,1)(n-1,n-2)(n-2,n-3)\dots(s+2,s+1) = (1,n-1,\dots,s+1) = (n-1,\dots,s+1,1) = (s+1,1)\dots(n-1,1)$.

Now let $t < n$.

$s = 1$:

$$(t,1)\delta = (t,1)(n,\dots,t+1,t,\dots,1) = (t,1)(t,\dots,1)(1,n,\dots,t+1) =$$
$$(t,1)(t,\dots,2)(2,1)(n,\dots,t+1,1) = (1,t,\dots,2)(2,1)(n,\dots,t+1,1) =$$
$$(t,\dots,1)(n,\dots,t+1)(2,1)(t+1,1) = (t,\dots,1)(n,\dots,t+1)(t+1,1)(t+1,2) =$$
$$(t,\dots,1)(n,\dots,t+1,1)(t+1,2) = (2,1)\dots(t,1)(t+1,1)\dots(n,1)(t+1,2).$$

For $n > t > s > 1$ we have:

$$(t,s)\delta = (t,s)(2,1)\dots(s-1,1)(s,1)\dots(n,1) = (2,1)\dots(s-1,1)(t,s)(s,1)\dots(n,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s,1)(t,1)(s+1,1)\dots(t,1)(t+1,1)\dots(n,1).$$
$$\delta(t+1,s+1) = (2,1)\dots(s,1)(s+1,1)\dots(t+1,1)(t+1,s+1)(t+2,1)\dots(n,1) =$$
$$(2,1)\dots(s,1)(s+1,1)\dots(t,1)(s+1,1)(t+1,1)\dots(n,1).$$

So we need to prove that

$$(t,1)(s+1,1)\dots(t,1) = (s+1,1)\dots(t,1)(s+1,1).$$

We have

$$(t,1)(s+1,1)\dots(t,1)=(t,1)(t,t-1,\dots,s+1,1)=$$
$$(t,1)(t,t-1)(t-1,\dots,s+1,1)=(t-1,1)(t,1)(t-1,\dots,s+1,1)=$$
$$(t-1,1)(t-1,\dots,s+1)(t,1)(s+1,1)=$$
$$(1,t-1,\dots,s+1)(t,1)(s+1,1).$$
$$(s+1,1)\dots(t,1)(s+1,1)=(t,t-1,\dots,s+1,1)(s+1,1)=$$
$$(t-1,\dots,s+1,1,t)(s+1,1)=(t-1,\dots,s+1,1)(t,1)(s+1,1).$$

We are done. □

Lemma 2.6.

$$(2,1)V_2(3,1)\dots V_{n-1}(n,1)=\delta V_1'\dots V_{n-1}', \tag{2.5}$$

where $V_i=V_{i[i-1,1]}, 2\le i\le (n-1)$.

Proof. □

Through the paper, we fix notations

$$n\ge t_3>t_2>t_1\ge 1.$$

Theorem 2.7. *A Gröbner-Shirshov basis of* B_n *in the Birman-Ko-Lee-Garside generators consists of the following relations:*

(2.6) $(k,l)(i,j)=(i,j)(k,l), k>l>i>j,$

(2.7) $(k,l)V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j)=(i,j)(k,l)V_{[j-1,1]}, k>i>j>l,$

(2.8) $(t_3,t_2)(t_2,t_1)=(t_2,t_1)(t_3,t_1),$

(2.9) $(t_3,t_1)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_3,t_2)=(t_2,t_1)(t_3,t_1)V_{[t_2-1,1]},$

(2.10)
$$(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)=(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]},$$

(2.11)
$$(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)=(t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]},$$

(2.12)
$$(2,1)V_{2[2,1]}(3,1)\dots V_{n-1[n-1,1]}(n,1)=\delta V'_{2[2,1]}\dots V'_{n-1[n-1,1]},$$

(2.13)
$$(t,s)\delta=\delta(t+1,s+1),(t,s)\delta^{-1}=\delta^{-1}(t-1,s-1),,t\pm 1,s\pm 1(mod\ n)$$

(2.14)
$$\delta\delta^{-1}=1,\delta^{-1}\delta=1,$$

where $V_{[k,l]}$ *means as before any word in* (i,j) *such that* $k\ge i>j\ge l, t>t_3, t_2>s$.

Recall that a subset S of the free algebra $k\langle X\rangle$ over a field k on X is called a Gröbner-Shirshov set (basis) if every composition of elements of S is trivial. This definition goes back to Shirshov's 1962 paper [10]. We recall the definition of triviality of a composition below.

For $n\ge t>s\ge 1$ we have (see [2])

$$(n,\dots,t,s-1,\dots,1)(t-1,\dots,s)(t,s)=\delta.$$

Indeed, $(t-1,\dots,s)(t,s)=(t-1,\dots,s,t)=(t,\dots,s)$, $(n,\dots,t,s-1,\dots,1)=(s-1,\dots,1,n,\dots,t)$, $(s-1,\dots,1,n,\dots,t)(t,\dots,s)=\delta$ (by Lemma 2.2).

As the result, we do not need the letters $(t,s)^{-1}$ and the relations $(t,s)(t,s)^{-1}=1$, $(t,s)^{-1}(t,s)=1$ in the above presentation of the group B_n.

3. Proof of the Theorem

It is easy to see that formulas (2.6)-(2.14) are valid in B_n.

We need to prove that all compositions of relations (2.6)–(2.14) are trivial.

By "a word" we will mean a positive word in $(i,j),\delta$; $u=v$ is either the equality in B_n^+ or the graphical equality (the meaning would be clear from the context).

We use the following notation for words u,v:

$$u\equiv v,$$

if u can be transformed to v by the eliminations of leading words of relations (2.6)–(2.14), i.e., by the eliminations of left parts of these relations. Actually, we will use an expansion of this notation meaning that $u\equiv v$ if

$$u\mapsto u_1\mapsto u_2\mapsto\dots\mapsto u_k=v,$$

where $u_i<u$ for all i and each transformation is an application of (2.6)–(2.14) (so, in general, only the first transformation $u\mapsto u_1$ is the elimination of the leading word of (2.6)–(2.14)).

Another expansion of that formula is

$$u\equiv v(mod\ w)$$

meaning that u can be transformed to v as before and all $u_i<w, u<w$.

By abuse of notations, we assume that in a word equivalence chain starting with a word u,

$$u\equiv v\equiv w\equiv t\dots$$

each equivalence $v\equiv w,\ w\equiv t,\dots$ is $mod\ u$.

This agrees with the definition of triviality of a composition (see [3], [4]). Namely, a composition $(f,g)_w$ is called trivial $mod(S,w)$, if

$$(f,g)_w=\sum\alpha_i a_i s_i b_i,\, a_i\overline{s_i}b_i<w,$$

where $s_i\in S, a_i,b_i\in X^*,\alpha_i\in k$. Here $k\langle X\rangle$ is a free associative algebra over a field k on a set X, $S\subset k\langle X\rangle$, X^* is the set of all words in X, $\overline{s}$ is the leading monomial of a polynomial s. Recall that

$$(f,g)_w=fb-ag, w=\overline{f}b=a\overline{g}, deg(f)+deg(g)>deg(w),$$

or

$$(f,g)_w=f-agb, w=\overline{f}=a\overline{g}b.$$

are called the compositions of intersection and including respectively. The first composition we denote also $f\wedge g$, the second – $f\vee g$.

Here w is called the ambiguity of the composition $(f,g)_w$, $a,b\in X^*$.

Let S be the set of polynomial corresponding to semigroup relations $u_i=v_i, u_i>v_i$, $(f,g)_w=u-v$ is a composition, $u,v\in X^*, u,v<w$. The triviality of $(f,g)_w$ $mod(S,w)$ means that in the previous sense

$$u\equiv t(mod\ w), v\equiv t(mod\ w)$$

for some word t. Now we need to prove that all compositions of relations (2.6)-(2.14) are trivial.

Recall that we fix order of any integers t_3, t_2, t_1,

$$n \geq t_3 > t_2 > t_1 \geq 1.$$

We will assume also that

$$t_4 > t_3, t_1 > t_0, s_3 > s_2 > s_1.$$

Any composition $(f,g)_w$ has a form

$$(f,g)_w = -(u-v),$$

where $fb = w - u, ag = w - v$ in the case of composition of intersection, and $f = w - u, agb = w - v$ in the case of composition of including. We will use this notation freely.

Let us consider compositions of (2.6) with all others relations. We start with listening all intersection ambiguities of (2.6):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(2.6) \wedge (2.6)(k,l)(i,j)(i_1 j_1), k > l > i > j > i_1 > j_1,\\
&(2.6) \wedge (2.7)(t,s)(k,l)V_{[j-1]}(i,j), t > s > k > i > j > l,\\
&(2.7) \wedge (2.6)(k,l)V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j)(i_1,j_1), k > i > j > l > i_1 > j_1,\\
&(2.6) \wedge (2.8)(k,l)(t_2,t_1)(t_2,t_1), k > l > t_3,\\
&(2.8) \wedge (2.6)(t_3,t_2)(t_2,t_1)(i,j), t_1 > i > j,\\
&(2.6) \wedge (2.9)(k,l)(t_3,t_1)V_{[t_2-1]}(t_3,t_2), k > l > t_3,\\
&(2.9) \wedge (2.6)(t_3,t_1)V_{[t_2-1]}(t_3,t_2)(i,j), t_1 > i > j,\\
&(2.6) \wedge (2.10)(k,l)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), k > l > t_3\\
&(2.10) \wedge (2.6)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)(i,j), t > s > t_3, t_1 > i > j,\\
&(2.6) \wedge (2.11)(k,l)(t_3,s)V[t_2-1,1](t_3,t_1)(W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), k > l > t_3,\\
&(2.11) \wedge (2.6)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_3,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)(i,j), t_1 > i > j, t_2 > s,\\
&(2.6) \wedge (2.12)(k,l)(2,1)V_{1[2,1]}(3,1)\ldots V_{n-2[n-1,1]}(n,1), k > l > 2\\
&(2.6) \wedge (2.13)(k,l)(i,j)\delta^{\pm 1}, k > l > i > j.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us check three of these compositions as examples:

$(2.7) \wedge (2.6) w = (k,l)V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j)(i_1,j_1), k > i > j > l > i_1 > j_1,$
$u - v = (i,j)(k,l)V_{[j-1,1]}(i_1,j_1) - (k,l)V_{[j-1,1]}(i_1,j_1)(i,j),$
$V_{[j-1,1]}(i_1,j_1) = V_{1[j-1,1]}, v \equiv (i,j)(k,l)V_{[j-1,1]}(i_1,j_1);$
$(2.10) \wedge (2.6)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)(i,j), t > s > t_3, t_1 > i > j,$
$u - v = (t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(i,j)-$
$(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(i,j)(t_3,t_1),$
$v \equiv (t,s)(t_3,t_2)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(i,j) \equiv$
$(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(i,j);$
$(2.6) \wedge (2.13) w = (k,l)(i,j)\delta, k > l > i > j,$
$u - v = (i,j)(k,l)\delta - (k,l)\delta(t+1,s+1), u \equiv (t,s)\delta(k+1,l+1) \equiv$
$\delta(t+1,s+1)(k+1,l+1), v \equiv \delta(k+1,l+1)(t+1,s+1) \equiv$
$\delta(t+1,s+1)(k+1,l+1).$

Here we use that $(k+1,l+1)(i+1,j+1) = (i+1,j+1)(k+1,l+1), n \geq k > l > i > j \geq 1$ (case $k = n$ should be treated separately).

We proceed with intersection compositions of (2.7) with (2.7), …, (2.14). The ambiguities are:

$(2.7) \wedge (2.7)(k,l)V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j)W_{[s-1,1]}(t,s), k > i > t > s > j > l,$
$(2.7) \wedge (2.8)(k,l)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t,t_2)(t_2,t_1), k > t_3, t_2 > l,$
$(2.8) \wedge (2.7)(t_3,t_2)(t_2,t_1)V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j), t_2 > i > j > t_1,$
$(2.7) \wedge (2.9)(k,l)V_{[t_1-1,1]}(t_3,t_1)W_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_3,t_2), k > t_3, t_1 > l,$
$(2.9) \wedge (2.7)(t_3,t_1)V_{[t_2-1]}(t_3,t_2)W_{[j-1,1]}(i,j), t_3 > i > j > t_2,$
$(2.7) \wedge (2.10)(k,l)V_{[s-1,1]}(t,s)W_{2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}, k > t > s > l, t_2 > s,$
$(2.10) \wedge (2.7)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)R_{[j-1,1]}(i,j), t > t_3 > i > j >, t_2 > s$
$(2.7) \wedge (2.11)(k,l)V_{[s-1,1]}(t_3,s)W_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), k > t_3, t_2 > l, t_2 > s,$
$(2.11) \wedge (2.7)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)R_{[j-1,1]}(i,j), t_3 > i > j > t_1, t_2 > s,$
$(2.12) \wedge (2.7)(2,1)V_{2[2,1]}(3,1)\ldots V_{n-1[n-1,1]}(n,1)W_{[j-1,1]}(i,j), n > i > j > 1,$
$(2.7) \wedge (2.11)(k,l)V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j)\delta^{\pm 1}, k > i > j > l.$

Let us check two of these compositions as examples:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2.7) \wedge (2.9) w = (k,l)V_{[t_1-1,1]}(t_3,t_1)W_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_3,t_2), k > t_3, t_1 > l,\\
&u - v = (t_3,t_1)(k,l)V_{[t_1-1,1]}W_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_3,t_2) - (k,l)V_{[t_1-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)(t_3,t_1)W_{[t_2-1,1]},\\
&u \equiv (t_3,t_1)(t_3,t_2)(k,l)V_{[t_1-1,1]}W_{[t_2-1,1]} \equiv (t_2,t_1)(t_3,t_1)(k,l)V_{[t_1-1,1]}W_{[t_2-1,1]},\\
&v \equiv (t_2,t_1)(k,l)V_{[t_1-1,1]}(t_3,t_1)W_{[t_2-1,1]} \equiv (t_2,t_1)(t_3,t_1)(k,l)V_{[t_1-1,1]}W_{[t_2-1,1]};\\
&(2.11) \wedge (2.7) w = (t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j),\\
&t_3 > i > j > t_1, t_2 > s,\\
&u - v = (t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j),\\
&v \equiv (t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(i,j)(t_3,t_1)V_{[j-1,1]} \equiv\\
&(t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(i,j)V_{[j-1,1]} \equiv\\
&(t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j).
\end{aligned}$$

We proceed with intersection compositions of (2.8) with (2.8), ..., (2.14). The ambiguities are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2.8) \wedge (2.8)(t_4,t_3)(t_3,t_2)(t_2,t_1),\\
&(2.8) \wedge (2.9)(t_4,t_3)(t_3,t_1)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_3,t_1),\\
&(2.9) \wedge (2.8)(t_3,t_1)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_3,t_2)(t_2,t_1), t_2 > t_1,\\
&(2.8) \wedge (2.10)(k,t)(t,s)W_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}, k > t,\\
&(2.10) \wedge (2.8)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_1,t_0),\\
&(2.8) \wedge (2.11)(t_4,t_3)(t_3,s)W_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)\\
&(2.11) \wedge (2.8)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)(t_1,t_0), t_2 > s,\\
&(2.8) \wedge (2.12)(t,2)(2,1)V_{2[2,1]}(3,1)\dots V_{n-1[n-1,1]}(n,1), t > 2\\
&(2.8) \wedge (2.13)(t_3,t_2)(t_2,t_1)(i,j)\delta^{\pm 1}, k > i > j > l.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us check two of these compositions as examples.

We choose the following compositions; here we use Lemma 2.1:

$$
\begin{array}{l}
(2.10) \wedge (2.8) w = (t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)(t_1,t_0), \\
u - v = (t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_1,t_0) - \\
(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_1,t_0)(t_3,t_0), \\
u \equiv (t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_1,t_0) \equiv \\
(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_1,t_0)(t_2,t_0)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}, \\
v \equiv (t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)(t_1,t_0)((W_{[t_3-1,t_1]})^{\star})_{[t_3-1,t_0]}(t_3,t_0) \equiv \\
(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_1,t_0)(t_2,t_0)((W_{[t_3-1,t_1]})^{\star})_{[t_3-1,t_0]}(t_3,t_0) \equiv \\
(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_1,t_0)(t_2,t_0)((W_{[t_3-1,t_1]})^{\star})'_{[t_3-1,t_0]} \equiv \\
(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_1,t_0)(t_2,t_0)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}; \\
(2.11) \wedge (2.8)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)(t_1,t_0), t_3 > s, \\
u - v = (t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_1,t_0) - \\
(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_1,t_0)(t_3,t_0), \\
u \equiv (t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)(t_1,t_0)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]} \equiv \\
(t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_1,t_0)(t_2,t_0)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}, \\
v \equiv (t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)(t_1,t_0)W^{\star}_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_0) \equiv \\
(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_1,t_0)(t_2,t_0)(W_{[t_3-1,t_1]})^{\star}(t_3,t_0) \equiv \\
(t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_1,t_0)(t_2,t_0)((W_{[t_3-1,t_1]})^{\star})' \equiv \\
(t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_1,t_0)(t_2,t_0)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}.
\end{array}
$$

Our next compositions will be (2.9) with (2.9)-(2.14). The ambiguities of intersection are the following:

$$
\begin{array}{l}
(2.9) \wedge (2.9)(t_4,t_1)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_4,t_2)W_{[t_3-1,1]}(t_4,t_3), \\
(2.9) \wedge (2.10)(t,s')V_{[s-1,1]}(t,s)W_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t > t_3, t_2 > s > s', \\
(2.10) \wedge (2.9)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)R_{[t'_3-1,1]}(t_3,t'_3), t_3 > t'_3 > t_1, \\
(2.9) \wedge (2.11)(t_3,s')V_{[s-1,1]}(t_3,s)W_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t_2 > s > s', \\
(2.11) \wedge (2.9)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)R_{[t'_3-1,1]}(t_3,t'_3), t_3 > t'_3 > t_1, \\
(2.12) \wedge (2.9)(2,1)V_{2[2,1]}(3,1)\ldots V_{n-1[n-1,1]}(n,1)V_{[k-1,1]}(n,k), n > k > 1, \\
(2.9) \wedge (2.13)(t_3,t_1)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_3,t_2)\delta^{\pm 1}.
\end{array}
$$

Let us check one of the compositions:

$$(2.10)\wedge(2.9) w=(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)R_{[t'_3-1,1]},$$
$$(t_3,t'_3), t_3>t'_3>t_1,$$
$$u-v=(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}R_{[t'_3-1,1]}(t_3,t'_3)-$$
$$(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t'_3,t_1)(t_3,t_1)R_{[t'_3-1,1]},$$
$$v\equiv(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t'_3)R_{[t'_3-1,1]}\equiv$$
$$(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}R_{[t'_3-1,1](t_3,t'_3)}.$$

Now we proceed with intersection compositions of (2.10) with (2.10) -(2.14). The ambiguities are the following:

$$(2.10)\wedge(2.10)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)\times$$
$$R_{[s_2-1,1]}(s_2,s_1)T_{[s_3-1,s_1]}(s_3,s_1), t>t_3, t_2>s, t_3>s_3, s_2>t_1,$$
$$(2.10)\wedge(2.11)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)\times$$
$$R_{[s_2-1,1]}(s_2,s_1)T_{[t_3-1,s_1]}(t_3,s_1), t>t_3, t_2>s, t_3>s_2>t_1,$$
$$(2.11)\wedge(2.10)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)\times$$
$$R_{[s_2-1,1]}(s_2,s_1)T_{[s_3-1,s_1]}(s_3,s_1), t_3>s_3, s_2>t_1,$$
$$(2.12)\wedge(2.10)(2,1)V_{2[2,1]}(3,1)\dots V_{n-1[n-1,1]}(n,1)\times$$
$$V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t>t_3,$$
$$(2.10)\wedge(2.13)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)\delta^{\pm1}.$$

Let us check one composition:

$$(2.10)\wedge(2.10) w=(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)\times$$
$$R_{[s_2-1,1]}(s_2,s_1)T_{[s_3-1,s_1]}(s_3,s_1), t>t_3, t_2>s, t_3>s_3, s_2>t_1,$$
$$u-v=(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}\times$$
$$R_{[s_2-1,1]}(s_2,s_1)T_{[s_3-1,s_1]}(s_3,s_1)-(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(s_3,s_2)(t_3,t_1)\times$$
$$R_{[s_2-1,1]}(s_2,s_1)T'_{[s_3-1,s_1]}, v\equiv(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(s_3,s_2)\times$$
$$R_{[s_2-1,1]}(s_2,s_1)T'_{[s_3-1,s_1]}\equiv(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}R_{[s_2-1,1]}\times$$
$$(s_3,s_2)(s_2,s_1)T'_{[s_3-1,s_1]}\equiv(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}R_{[s_2-1,1]}\times$$
$$(s_2,s_1)(s_3,s_1)T'_{[s_3-1,s_1]}\equiv(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}R_{[s_2-1,1]}\times$$
$$(s_2,s_1)T_{[s_3-1,s_1]}(s_3,s_1).$$

Now we consider compositions of intersection of (2.11) with (2.11-2.14):

$$(2.11)\wedge(2.11)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)\times$$
$$R_{[s_2-1,1]}(s_2,s_1)T_{[t_3-1,s_1]}(t_3,s_1), t_2>s, t_3>s_2, s_2>t_1,$$
$$(2.12)\wedge(2.11)(2,1)V_{2[2,1]}(3,1)\dots V_{n-1[n-1,1]}(n,1)\times$$
$$R_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)T_{[n-1,t_1]}(n,t_1),$$
$$(2.11)\wedge(2.13)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1)\delta^{\pm1}, t_2>s.$$

We check one:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(2.11) \wedge (2.13) w = (n,s) V_{[t_2-1,1]} (t_2,t_1) W_{[n-1,t_1]} (n,t_1)\delta, n = t_3, t_2 > s,\\
&u - v = (t_2,s)(n,s) V_{[t_2-1,1]} (t_2,t_1) W'_{[n-1,t_1]} \delta -\\
&(n,s) V_{[t_2-1,1]} (t_2,t_1) W_{[n-1,t_1]} \delta (t_1+1,1),\\
&u \equiv \delta (t_2+1,s+1)(s+1,1) V_{[t_2,2]} (t_2+1,t_1+1) W^{\star}_{[n,t_1+1]},\\
&\text{ where } W_{[n,t_1+1]} (t_1+1,1) = (t_1+1,1) W^{\star}_{[n,t_1+1]},\\
&v \equiv \delta (s+1,1) V_{[t_2,2]} (t_2+1,t_1+1) W_{[n,t_1+1]} (t_1+1,1) \equiv\\
&\delta (s+1,1) V_{[t_2,2]} (t_2+1,t_1+1)(t_1+1,1) W^{\star}_{[n,t_1+1]} \equiv\\
&\delta (s+1,1) V_{[t_2,2]} (t_2+1,1)(t_2+1,t_1+1) W^{\star}_{[n,t_1+1]} \equiv\\
&\delta (s+1,1)(t_2+1,1) V_{[t_2,2]} (t_2+1,t_1+1) W^{\star}_{[n,t_1+1]} \equiv\\
&\delta (t_2+1,s+1)(s+1,1) V_{[t_2,2]} (t_2+1,t_1+1) W^{\star}_{[n,t_1+1]}.
\end{aligned}
$$

To finish with the case of compositions of intersection, we only need to consider

$$(2.12) \wedge (2.13) w = (2,1) V_{2[2,1]} (3,1) \ldots V_{n-1[n-1,1]} (n,1) \delta^{\pm 1}.$$

We check one composition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(2.12) \wedge (2.13) w = (2,1) V_{2[2,1]} (3,1) \ldots V_{n-1[n-1,1]} (n,1)\delta,\\
&u - v = \delta V'_{2[2,1]} \ldots V'_{n-1[n-1,1]} \delta - (2,1) V_{2[2,1]} (3,1) \ldots V_{n-1[n-1,1]} \delta (2,1),\\
&u \equiv \delta^2 V'^{+}_{2[2,1]} \ldots V'^{+}_{n-1[n-1,1]}, \text{ where } R^{+} = R|_{(x,y)\mapsto(x+1,y+1)},\\
&v \equiv \delta (3,2) V^{+}_{2[2,1]} (4,2) \ldots (n,2) V^{+}_{n-1[n-1,1]} (2,1) \equiv\\
&\delta (2,1)(3,1) V^{+\star}_{2[2,1]} (4,2) \ldots (n,1) V^{+\star}_{n-1[n-1,1]} \equiv\\
&\delta^2 (V^{+\star}_{2[2,1]})' \ldots (V^{+\star}_{n-1[n-1,1]})'.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$V'^{+}_{[t-1,1]} = (V^{+\star}_{[t-1,1]})', 2 \le t \le n-1,$$

identically, see also Lemma 2.1. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&V'^{+}_{[t-1,1]} = (V|_{(x,y)\mapsto(x,y), y\neq 1, (x,1)\mapsto(t,x)})^{+} = V|_{(x,y)\mapsto(x+1,y+1), y\neq 1, (x,1)\mapsto(t+1,x+1)},\\
&(V^{+\star}_{[t-1,1]})' = (((V|_{(x,y)\mapsto(x+1,y+1), y\neq 1, (x,1)\mapsto(x+1,2)})_{[t,2]})^{\star})' =\\
&(V|_{(x,y)\mapsto(x+1,y+1), y\neq 1, (x,1)\mapsto(x+1,1)})'_{[t,2]} = V|_{(x,y)\mapsto(x+1,y+1), y\neq 1, (x,1)\mapsto(t+1,x+1)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we proceed with compositions of including of relations (2.6)-(2.14). First of all, if a word $(k_1,l_1)(i_1,j_1), k_1 > l_1 > i_1 > j_1$ is a subword of words $V, W, \ldots$ of left parts of (2.7)-(2.12), then the triviality of the corresponding compositions is clear. Let us list other ambiguities, using the same notations for (2.6)-(2.14) as before:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(2.7) \vee (2.6) (k,l)(i_1,j_1) V_{[j-1]} (i,j), l > i_1 > j_1,\\
&(2.9) \vee (2.6) (t_3,t_1)(i,j) V_{[t_2-1]} (t_3,t_2), t_1 > i > j,\\
&(2.10) \vee (2.6) (t,s)(i,j) V_{[t_2-1,1]} (t_2,t_1) W_{[t_3-1,t_1]} (t_3,t_1), s > i > j,\\
&(2.11) \vee (2.6) (t_3,s)(i,j) V_{[t_2-1,1]} (t_2,t_1) W_{[t_3-1,t_1]} (t_3,t_1), s > i > j.
\end{aligned}
$$

All these cases are clear.

For (2.7)-(2.14):

$(2.7)\vee(2.7)(k,l)V_{[j_1-1,1]}(i_1,j_1)W_{[j-1]}(i,j), j>i_1>j_1>l,$
$(2.9)\vee(2.7)(t_3,t_1)V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j)W_{[t_2-1]}(t_3,t_2), t_2>i>j>t_1,$
$(2.10)\vee(2.7)(t,s)V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j)W_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t_2>i>j>s,$
$(2.10)\vee(2.7)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[j-1,t_1]}(i,j)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t_2>i>j>t_1,$
$(2.11)\vee(2.7)(t_3,s)V_{[j-1,1]}(i,j)W_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t_2>i>j>s,$
$(2.11)\vee(2.7)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[j-1,t_1]}(i,j)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t_2>i>j>t_1,$
$(2.12)\vee(2.7)(2,1)V_{2[2,1]}(3,1)\dots(k,1)W_{[j-1,1]}(i,j)R_{k[k,1]}\dots V_{n-1[n-1,1]}(n,1),$
$k>i>j>1.$

Now let us work out the second composition of (2.11)-(2.7):

$(2.11)\vee(2.7)w=(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[j-1,t_1]}(i,j)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t_2>i>j>t_1,$
$u-v=(t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[j-1,t_1]}(i,j)R'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}-$
$(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(i,j)(t_2,t_1)W_{[j-1,t_1]}R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1),$
$u\equiv(t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)(i,j)W'_{[j-1,t_1]}R'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}$
(for $W'_{[j-1,t_1]}=(W'_{[j-1,t_1]})_{[t_3,t_1+1]}$ and we can assume that $j>t_1+1$,
otherwise $W_{[j-1,t_1]}=1)\equiv(t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(i,j)(t_2,t_1)W'_{[j-1,t_1]}R'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}$,
$v\equiv(t_2,s)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(i,j)(t_2,t_1)W'_{[j-1,t_1]}R'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}.$

For (2.8)-(2.14):

$(2.9)\vee(2.8)(t_3,t_1)(t_1,t'_1)V_{[t_2-1]}(t_3,t_2), t_1>t'_1,$
$(2.10)\vee(2.8)(t,s)(s,s')V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), s>s',$
$(2.11)\vee(2.8)(t_3,s)(s,s')V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), s>s'.$

For (2.9)-(2.14):

$(2.10)\vee(2.9)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t'_2-1,t_1]}(t_2,t'_2)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t_2>t'_2>t_1,$
$(2.11)\vee(2.9)(t_3,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t'_2-1,t_1]}(t_2,t'_2)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t_2>t'_2>t_1.$

Let us work out the first composition:

$(2.10)\vee(2.9)w=(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W_{[t'_2-1,t_1]}(t_2,t'_2)R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1), t_2>t'_2>t_1,$
$u-v=(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t'_2-1,t_1]}(t_2,t'_2)R'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}-$
$(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t'_2,t_1)(t_2,t_1)W_{[t'_2-1,t_1]}R_{[t_3-1,t_1]}(t_3,t_1),$
$u\equiv(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t_2,t_1)(t_2,t'_2)W'_{[t'_2-1,t_1]}R'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}$
(for $W'_{[t'_2-1,t_1]}=(W'_{[t'_2-1,t_1]})_{[t_3,t_1+1]}$ and $t'_2>t_1+1$, otherwise $W_{[t'_2-1,t_1]}=1$)
$\equiv(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t'_2,t_1)(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t'_2-1,t_1]}R'_{[t_3-1,t_1]},$
$v\equiv(t_3,t_2)(t,s)V_{[t_2-1,1]}(t'_2,t_1)(t_2,t_1)W'_{[t'_2-1,t_1]}R'_{[t_3-1,t_1]}.$

Theorem 2.7 is proved.

4. Corollaries

Let $S \subset k\langle X\rangle$. A word u is called S-irreducible if $u \neq a\overline{s}b$, where $s \in S, a, b \in X^*$. Let $Irr(S)$ be the set of all S-irreducible words.

Recall Shirshov's Composition lemma ([10], [3], [4]) :

Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov set in $k\langle X\rangle$. If $f \in ideal(S)$, then $\overline{f} = a\overline{s}b, s \in S$. The converse is also true.

The Main corollary to this lemma is the following statement ([10], [3], [4]):

A subset $S \subset k\langle X\rangle$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov set iff the set $Irr(S)$ is a linear basis for the algebra $k\langle X\rangle/ideal(S) = k\langle X|S\rangle$ generated by X with defining relations S.

Let $G = sgp\langle X|S\rangle$ be the semigroup generated by X with defining relations S. Then S is called a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of G if S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of the semigroup algebra $k(G)$, i.e., S is a Gröbner-Shirshov set in $k\langle X\rangle$. It follows from the Main corollary to the Composition lemma that in this case any word u in X is equal in G to a unique S-irreducible word $C(u)$, called the normal (canonical) form of u.

Now let S be the set of relations (2.6)-(2.14), and let $C(u)$ be a normal form of a word $u \in B_n$. Then $C(u)$ has a form

$$C(u) = \delta^k A,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and A a positive S-irreducible word in $a_(i, j)$'s, $C(A) = A$. Then $A \neq \delta A_1$ for every positive word A_1, otherwise $A = \delta C(A_1)$ identically, that is impossible.

As a result, we have the following

Corollary 4.1. *The S-irreducible normal form of a word of B_n in Birman-Ko-Lee-Garside generators coincides with the Birman-Ko-Lee normal form of the word.*

Proof. Recall ([2]) that the Birman-Ko-Lee normal form $G(u)$ of $u \in B_n$ is

$$G(u) = \delta^k A,$$

where $u = G(u)$ in B_n, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and A is a positive word in $a_(i, j)$, $A \neq \Delta A_1$ for every positive word A_1, and A is the minimal word with these properties. We have proved that the S-irreducible normal form $C(u)$ has these properties. □

Corollary 4.2 ([2])**.** *The semigroup of positive braids B_n^+ in Birman-Ko-Lee generators can be embedded into a group.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 2.7 that $B_n^+ \subset B_n$. □

References

[1] E. Artin, *Theory of Braids.* Ann. Math. **48** (1947), 101–126.

[2] J. Birman, K.H. Ko, and S.J. Lee, *A new approach to the word and conjugacy problems for the braid groups* Adv. Math. **139** (1998), 322-353.

[3] L. A. Bokut, *Unsolvability of the word problem, and subalgebras of finitely presented Lie algebras.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **36** (1972), 1173–1219.

[4] L. A. Bokut, *Imbeddings into simple associative algebras.* Algebra i Logika **15** (1976), 117–142, 245.

[5] L. Bokut, V. Chainikov, K.P. Shum , *Markov and Artin normal form for braid groups revised via Gröbner-Shirshov bases.*

[6] L. Bokut, Y. Fong, W.-F. Ke, L-S. Shiao, *Gröbner-Shirshov basis for the braid semigroup.* Advances in Algebra and related topics. Proceedings of the ICM2002 satellite conference on algebra, Hong Kong (K.-P. Shum, Ed), River Edge, World Scientific, 2003, pp 14-25.

[7] L. Bokut, *Gröbner-Shirshov basis for the braid group in the Artin-Garside generators.*

[8] A.F. Garside, *The braid group and other groups.* Quart. J. Math. Oxford **20** (1969), 235–254.

[9] A.A. Markov, An introduction to the algebraical theory of braids. (Russian). Proceedings of the Steklov Mast. Ins. RAS, **16** (1945).

[10] A. I. Shirshov, *Some algorithm problems for Lie algebras.* Sibirsk. Mat. Z. **3** (1962), 292–296. (Russian) (English translation in ACM SIGSAM Bull. **33**, 2, (1999), 3-6.)